## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

OPTIS WIRELESS TECHNOLOGY, LLC, \$
PANOPTIS PATENT MANAGEMENT, \$
LLC, OPTIS CELLULAR \$ Case No. 2:17-CV-00123-JRG-RSP TECHNOLOGY, LLC., \$

Plaintiffs, \$
v. \$
HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO. LTD., \$
HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC., HUAWEI \$
DEVICE (SHENZHEN) CO., LTD., \$

Defendants. \$
\$

## **ORDER**

The Court has considered the parties' objections to Magistrate Judge Payne's report and recommendation on various dispositive pretrial motions and has found the objections so raised unpersuasive. Accordingly, and upon *de novo* review of the report and recommendation, it is **ORDERED**:

- (1) The parties objections (Dkt. Nos. 229, 230) are **OVERRULED**.
- (2) The report and recommendation (Dkt. No. 214) is **ADOPTED**.
- (3) The motions for summary judgment (Dkt. Nos. 141, 142, and 146) are **DENIED**.
- (4) Huawei's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 145) is **GRANTED-IN-PART** to the extent that the foreign aspect of PanOptis's Count IX is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 9th day of August, 2018.

RODNEY GILSTRAP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE